## C - Interview guide

Category	Question/stimulus/narrative prompt	(Follow-up) questions (if not answered by the person themselves)	
Code	[START AUDIO RECORDING] What is your participant code?	(ii not answered by the person themselves)	
	In the video shown earlier, you saw the Debater, an AI-supported system, that conveyed information on the subject of nutritional supplements.		
Intro	What is your first impression of the Debater, what did you notice?	<ul> <li>Which aspects did you remember, which were interesting/notable?</li> <li>What did you feel while watching the video clip?</li> <li>What did you like and what did you dislike?</li> </ul>	
Perception of AI in general and previous experiences	In general, what comes to your mind when you think of artificial intelligence or AI?	<ul> <li>What points of contact have you already had with Al-based technologies?</li> <li>What experiences have you had?</li> <li>Did you already know the Debater shown in the video?         <ul> <li>If yes, what do you know about it?</li> </ul> </li> <li>Have you had experience with similar systems? (e.g., voice assistants such as Alexa or Siri; chatbots such as ChatGPT)         <ul> <li>Which ones?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
	Now I would like to talk to you about the subject of nutritional supplements, on which the Debater has presented information.		
Knowledge about the subject of nu- tritional supple- ments	How well-informed do you feel about the subject of nutritional supplements after watching the video shown earlier?	<ul> <li>How satisfied are you with the information you received?         <ul> <li>To what extent do you have the feeling that the Debater has told you something new?</li> <li>To what extent do you have the feeling that the Debater has provided you with understandable and relevant information?</li> <li>To what extent do you have the need to check the Debater's information or was it sufficient for you this way?</li></ul></li></ul>	
Perception of humor	To what extent did you perceive the Debater as humorous?	<ul> <li>At what moments did you find the Debater humorous?</li> <li>To what extent did you notice that the Debater sometimes made ironic, funny remarks?</li> </ul>	
	numorous:	(For example, when the Debater talked about silky curls, made a joke about the high magnesium dosage in magnesium tablets or joked about his own lack of vitamin F)	
		How did / do you find this?	

Perception of empathy  Perception of the person's confusion and concernsor's confusion and endergone conversation with a credible of the perception of the perceptio			To what extent do you find that credible?
Perception of empathy  To what extent did you perceive the Debater as empathic (i.e., understanding and compassionate)?  O At what moments did you particularly notice that the Debater reacted empathetically?  To what extent did you notice that the Debater reacted in an understanding or compassionate way?  (For example, by expressing understanding for the person's confusion and concerns or by asknowledging that it may seem more convenient to take nutritional supplements in hectic every life)  How did / do you find this?  To what extent do you feel irritated by this?  Do you find this scary?  Why (not)?  To what extent do you feel irritated by this?  Do you find this scary?  Why (not)?  To what extent do you base the (not) attributed trustworthiness?  What role do humorous and empathetic wordings play in this?  Are there any sources of science-related information that you typically use that you find more less trustworthy than the Debater? (e.g., newspaper, private/public television, search engines social media, conversations with acquaintances, scientific publications, etc.).  Why (not)?			
Why (not)?  To what extent did you perceive the Debater as empathic (i.e., understanding and compassionate)?  Perception of empathy  Perception of empathy  Prowate extent would you say that the Debater is a reliable source for science-related information, e.g., on the subject of nutritional supplements?  Why (not)?  At what moments did you particularly notice that the Debater reacted empathetically?  To what extent did you notice that the Debater reacted in an understanding or compassionate way?  (For example, by expressing understanding for the person's confusion and concerns or by ack knowledging that it may seem more convenient to take nutritional supplements in hectic ever life)  How did / do you find this?  To what extent do you feel irritated by this?  Do you find this scary?  Why (not)?  To what extent do you base the (not) attributed trustworthiness?  What role do humorous and empathetic wordings play in this?  Are there any sources of science-related information that you typically use that you find more less trustworthy than the Debater? (e.g., newspaper, private/public television, search engines social media, conversations with acquaintances, scientific publications, etc.).  Why (not)?			
To what extent did you perceive the Debater as empathic (i.e., understanding and compassionate)?  At what moments did you particularly notice that the Debater reacted empathetically?  To what extent did you notice that the Debater reacted in an understanding or compassionate way?  (For example, by expressing understanding for the person's confusion and concerns or by acknowledging that it may seem more convenient to take nutritional supplements in hectic every life)  How did / do you find this?  To what extent do you find that credible?  Why (not)?  To what extent would you say that the Debater is a reliable source for science-related information, e.g., on the subject of nutritional supplements?  Trustworthiness  Trustworthiness  At what moments did you particularly notice that the Debater reacted empathetically?  To what extent did you notice that the Debater reacted in an understanding or compassionate way?  (For example, by expressing understanding for the person's confusion and concerns or by acknowledging that it may seem more convenient to take nutritional supplements in hectic every life)  How did / do you find this?  To what extent do you feel irritated by this?  Do you find this scary?  Why (not)?  On what do you base the (not) attributed trustworthiness?  What role do humorous and empathetic wordings play in this?  Are there any sources of science-related information that you typically use that you find more less trustworthy than the Debater? (e.g., newspaper, private/public television, search engines social media, conversations with acquaintances, scientific publications, etc.).  Why (not)?			- Do you find this scary:
empathic (i.e., understanding and compassionate)?  Perception of empathy  Perception of empathy  Perception of empathy  Provided in the did you notice that the Debater reacted in an understanding or compassionate way?  (For example, by expressing understanding for the person's confusion and concerns or by acknowledging that it may seem more convenient to take nutritional supplements in hectic every life)  Now the did / do you find this?  To what extent do you find that credible?  Why (not)?  To what extent would you say that the Debater is a reliable source for science-related information, e.g., on the subject of nutritional supplements?  Trustworthiness  Trust			
Perception of empathy  How did / do you find this? To what extent do you find that credible? Why (not)? To what extent would you say that the Debater is a reliable source for science-related information, e.g., on the subject of nutritional supplements?  Trustworthiness  Trustworthiness    Wind the document of the nutritional supplements in hectic every life)	· ·	empathic (i.e., understanding and compassion-	o To what extent did you notice that the Debater reacted in an understanding or compassionate
Trustworthiness  To what extent would you say that the Debater is a reliable source for science-related information, e.g., on the subject of nutritional supplements?  Trustworthiness  Trustworthiness  Trustworthiness  Trustworthiness  To what extent would you say that the Debater is a reliable source for science-related information, e.g., on the subject of nutritional supplements?  Trustworthiness  Trustworthin			(For example, by expressing understanding for the person's confusion and concerns or by ac- knowledging that it may seem more convenient to take nutritional supplements in hectic everyday life)
Trustworthiness  To what extent would you say that the Debater is a reliable source for science-related information, e.g., on the subject of nutritional supplements?  Trustworthiness  Trustwort			│ ○ How did / do you find this?
Why (not)?  To what extent would you say that the Debater is a reliable source for science-related information, e.g., on the subject of nutritional supplements?  Trustworthiness  Trustworthiness  Why (not)?  To what extent would you say that the Debater is a reliable source for science-related information, e.g., on the subject of nutritional supplements?  Are there any sources of science-related information that you typically use that you find more less trustworthy than the Debater? (e.g., newspaper, private/public television, search enginest social media, conversations with acquaintances, scientific publications, etc.).  Why (not)?			
Trustworthiness  Trustworthiness  To what extent would you say that the Debater is a reliable source for science-related information, e.g., on the subject of nutritional supplements?  Trustworthiness  Trustworthiness  Trustworthiness  Do you find this scary?  Why (not)?  On what do you base the (not) attributed trustworthiness?  What role do humorous and empathetic wordings play in this?  Are there any sources of science-related information that you typically use that you find more less trustworthy than the Debater? (e.g., newspaper, private/public television, search engines social media, conversations with acquaintances, scientific publications, etc.).  Why (not)?			Why (not)?
Trustworthiness  Trustw			
Trustworthiness  To what extent would you say that the Debater is a reliable source for science-related information, e.g., on the subject of nutritional supplements?  Trustworthiness  Trustworthiness  Trustworthiness  To what extent would you say that the Debater is a reliable source for science-related information, e.g., on the subject of nutritional supplements?  Are there any sources of science-related information that you typically use that you find more less trustworthy than the Debater? (e.g., newspaper, private/public television, search enginest social media, conversations with acquaintances, scientific publications, etc.).  Why (not)?			
a reliable source for science-related information, e.g., on the subject of nutritional supplements?  Trustworthiness  Trustworthiness  Trustworthiness  Trustworthiness  Trustworthiness  Trustworthiness  Trustworthiness  Are there any sources of science-related information that you typically use that you find more less trustworthy than the Debater? (e.g., newspaper, private/public television, search enginess social media, conversations with acquaintances, scientific publications, etc.).  What role do humorous and empathetic wordings play in this?  Are there any sources of science-related information that you typically use that you find more less trustworthy than the Debater? (e.g., newspaper, private/public television, search enginess social media, conversations with acquaintances, scientific publications, etc.).			• vvny (not)?
Trustworthiness  e.g., on the subject of nutritional supplements?  Are there any sources of science-related information that you typically use that you find more less trustworthy than the Debater? (e.g., newspaper, private/public television, search engines social media, conversations with acquaintances, scientific publications, etc.).  Why (not)?	Trustworthiness		
Trustworthiness  Are there any sources of science-related information that you typically use that you find more less trustworthy than the Debater? (e.g., newspaper, private/public television, search engines social media, conversations with acquaintances, scientific publications, etc.).  Why (not)?		•	What role do humorous and empathetic wordings play in this?
T AND THE METERS A SCIENCE-TERRIED SOUTCE TO SWOOD VOID		e.g., on the subject of nutritional supplements?	less trustworthy than the Debater? (e.g., newspaper, private/public television, search engines, social media, conversations with acquaintances, scientific publications, etc.).
To what extent in your opinion should Al systems o [If tendency yes]: Why?		To what extent in your opinion should AI systems	
like the Debater be humorous and empathetic - [If tendency no]:		, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Acceptance of hu- especially when it comes to communicating sci-	•	•	
mor and empathy ence-related content?  • Would it be different for a human being?  • Do you have any improvement recommendations in this regard?	mor and empathy	ence-related content?	
5 Do you have any improvement recommendations in this regard?			50 you have any improvement recommendations in this regard:
Tendency towards intended use  Now I would like to take a look into the future with you. Assuming AI systems such as the Debater are commercially available tomorrow:	•		
How open would you be to using systems like De- O Why (not)?			
bater to search for science-related information?  O Do you see any benefit in such AI systems?  Why (not)?		bater to search for science-related information?	
• Why (not)?  • How would you use such a system?			

Empathic, humorous, and trustworthy?
Supplemental material C – Interview guide

Outlook	What chances do you see with regard to Al and	Why?	
	science-related information search?		
	What risks in your opinion could be associated	Why?	
	with AI and science-related information search?		
Closing & Debrief-			
ing	Do you have any other points that you would like to raise on the subjects discussed or beyond that on the subject of AI?		
	[STOP AUDIO RECORDING]		
	Thank you very much for your willingness to provide information and to participate and for our pleasant and inspiring conversation! You have greatly supported us in our project.		
	Hand out debriefing		