

Supplementary Material

Reference of the 32 included studies

1. Carey, J. M., Chi, V., Flynn, D. J., Nyhan, B., & Zeitzoff, T. (2020). The effects of corrective information about disease epidemics and outbreaks: Evidence from Zika and yellow fever in Brazil. *Science advances*, 6(5), eaaw7449. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaw7449>
2. Ecker, U. K. H., Butler, L. H., & Hamby, A. (2020). You don't have to tell a story! A registered report testing the effectiveness of narrative versus non-narrative misinformation corrections. *Cognitive research: principles and implications*, 5(1), 64. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41235-020-00266-x>
3. Guess, A. M., Lerner M., Lyons B., Montgomery J.M., Nyhan B., Reifler J. e Sircar Neelajan. (2020). A digital media literacy intervention increases discernment between mainstream and false news in the United States and India. *PNA*, 117(27), 15536–15545. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1920498117>
4. Hameleers M. & van der Meer T. G. L. A. (2019). Misinformation and Polarization in a High-Choice Media Environment: How Effective Are Political Fact-Checkers? *Communication Reserach*, 27(2), 227-250. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0093650218819671>
5. Huang, Y. & Wang, W. (2020): When a story contradicts: correcting health misinformation on social media through different message formats and mechanisms, *Information, Communication & Society*, 8, 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2020.1851390>
6. Kirchner J. & Reuter C. (2020). Countering Fake News: A Comparison of Possible Solutions Regarding User Acceptance and Effectiveness. *Acm Hum-Comput. Interact*, 4(140), 1-27. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3415211>
7. Lee, J. (2020). The effect of web add-on correction and narrative correction on belief in misinformation depending on motivations for using social media. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 41, 629-643. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929X.2020.1829708>.
8. Pennycook G., McPhetres J., Zhang Y., Lu G. J. & Rand D. G. (2020). Fighting COVID-19 Misinformation on Social Media: Experimental Evidence for a Scalable Accuracy-Nudge Intervention. *Psychological Science*, 31(7), 770-780. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797620939054>
9. Pluviano S., Sala S. D. & Watt C. (2020). The effects of source expertise and trustworthiness on recollection: the case of vaccine misinformation. *Cognitive Processing*, 21, 321-330. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10339-020-00974-8>
10. Stekelenburg van A., Schaap G., Veling H. & Buijzen M. (2020). Correcting Misperceptions: The Causal Role of Motivation in Corrective Science Communication About Vaccine and Food Safety. *Science Communication*, 42(1), 31-60. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1075547019898256>

11. Trujillo K. L., Motta M., Callaghan T. & Sylvester S. (2020). Correcting Misperceptions about the MMR Vaccine: Using Psychological Risk Factors to Inform Targeted Communication Strategies. *Political Research Quarterly*, 74(2), 464-478.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1065912920907695>
12. Chen L. & Unsworth K. (2019). Cognitive complexity increases climate change belief. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 65(101316), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2019.101316>
13. Lutzke L., Drummond C., Slovic P. & Árvai J. (2019). Priming critical thinking: Simple interventions limit the influence of fake news about climate change on Facebook. *Global Environmental Change*, 58(101964), 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2019.101964>
14. Sangalang A., Ophir Y. & Capella N., J. (2019). The Potential for Narrative Correctives to Combat Misinformation. *Journal of Communication*, 69, 298-319.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/joc/jqz014>
15. Schmid, P. & Betsch C. (2019). Effective strategies for rebutting science denialism in public discussions. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 3, 931-939. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-019-0632-4>
16. Vraga K. E., Claire S. & Cook J. (2019). Testing Logic-based and Humor-based Corrections for Science, Health, and Political Misinformation on Social Media. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media*, 63(3), 393-414. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08838151.2019.1653102>
17. Gesser-Edelsburg A., Diamant A., Hijazi R. & Mesch G.S. (2018). Correcting misinformation by health organizations during measles outbreaks: A controlled experiment. *PLoS ONE* 13(12), e0209505. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0209505>
18. Vraga K. E. & Bode L. (2018). I do not believe you: how providing a source corrects health misperceptions across social media platforms, Information. *Communication & Society*, 21(10), 1337-1353. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2017.1313883>
19. Dunwoody S. & Kohl A. P. Using Weight-of- Experts Messaging to Communicate Accurately About Contested Science. *Science Communication*, 39(3), 338- 357.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1075547017707765>
20. Jolley, D. & Douglas M. K. (2017). Prevention is better than cure: Addressing anti-vaccine conspiracy theories. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 47, 459-469.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/jasp.12453>
21. Dixon N. G., McKeever W. B., Holton E. A., Clarke C. & Eosco G. (2015). The Power of a Picture: Overcoming Scientific Misinformation by Communicating Weight-of-Evidence Information with Visual Exemplars. *Journal of Communication*, 65, 639–659.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/jcom.12159>
22. Jolley D. & Douglas M. K. (2014). The Effects of Anti-Vaccine Conspiracy Theories on Vaccination Intentions. *PLoS ONE*, 9(2), e89177.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0089177>

23. Nyhan B., Reifler J., Richey S. & Freed L. G. (2014). Effective Messages in Vaccine Promotion: A Randomized Trial. *Pediatrics*, 133(4), e835-e842.
<https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2013-2365>
24. Agle J., Xiao Y., Thompson E. E., Chen Xiwen & Golzarri-Arroyo L. (2021). Intervening on Trust in Science to Reduce Belief in COVID-19 Misinformation and Increase COVID-19 Preventive Behavioral Intentions: Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Med Internet Res*, 23(10), e32425. <https://doi.org/10.2196/32425>
25. Basol, M., Roozenbeek, J., Berriche, M., Uenal, F., McClanahan, W. P., & Linden, S. van der. (2021). Towards psychological herd immunity: Cross-cultural evidence for two prebunking interventions against COVID-19 misinformation. *Big Data & Society*, 8(1), 1-18.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/20539517211013868>
26. Iles A. I., Gillman S. A., Platter N. H., Ferrer A. R. & Klein P. M. William. Investigating the Potential of Inoculation Messages and Self-Affirmation in Reducing the Effects of Health Misinformation. *Science Communication*, 43(6), 768–804.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/10755470211048480>
27. Kuru O., Stecula D., Lu H., Ophir Y., Chan S. M., Winneg K., Jamieson H. K. & Albarracín D. (2021). The effects of scientific messages and narratives about vaccination. *PLoS ONE*, 16(3), e0248328. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0248328>
28. MacFarlane, D., Tay, L. Q., Hurlstone, M. J., & Ecker, U. K. H. (2021). Refuting spurious COVID-19 treatment claims reduces demand and misinformation sharing. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 10(2), 248–258. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0101793>
29. Maertens, R., Roozenbeek, J., Basol, M., & van der Linden, S. (2021). Long-term effectiveness of inoculation against misinformation: Three longitudinal experiments. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*, 27(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1037/xap0000315>
30. Roozenbeek J., Maertens R., McClanahan W. & van der Linden S. (2021). Disentangling Item and Testing Effects in Inoculation Research on Online Misinformation: Solomon Revisited. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 81(2), 340-362.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164420940378>
31. Vijaykumar, S., Jin, Y., Rogerson, D., Lu X., Sharma S., Maughan A., Fadel B., Costa M. S. O., Pagliari C. & Morris D. (2021). How shades of truth and age affect responses to COVID-19 (Mis)information: randomized survey experiment among WhatsApp users in UK and Brazil. *Humanit Soc Sci Commun* 8(88). <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-021-00752-7>
32. Yousuf, H., van der Linden, S., Bredius, L., van Essen, G. A. Sweep, G., Preminger, Z. van Gorp, E., Scherder, E., Narula, J. & Hofstra, L. (2021). A media intervention applying debunking versus non-debunking content to combat vaccine misinformation in elderly in the Netherlands: A digital randomised trial. *eClinicalMedicine*, 35(10881), 1-11.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2021.100881>